

Ravjot Singh,  
83 – J, Sarabha Nagar,  
Ludhiana – 141001 (Punjab)  
(M.- 98145-00076)

....Appellant

V/s

- i) Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Assistant Inspector General of Police, Pb.,  
(Admin – 2 Branch),  
Bureau of Investigation,  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh
- ii) Sh. Yadwinder Singh Sandhu, PPS,  
(Regd. Post) AIG-cum-PIO (Admin. Wing), ,  
Assistant Inspector General of Police-cum-  
cum- Public Information Officer, Pb.,  
(Admin. Wing),  
Bureau of Investigation,  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

Encl:RTI request

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Inspector General of Police, Pb.,  
(Admin – 2 Branch),  
Bureau of Investigation,  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2852 of 2022**

- Present : Sh. Ravjot Singh, the appellant in person.
- i) Sh. Purshotam Kumar, A. S. I.(94171-31510) O/o D.G.P., Chd
- ii) Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, Head Constable (80541-96375) O/o Bureau of Investigation;
- iii) Sh. Rajeev Godara, Senior Constable(80548-00929) O/o Bureau of Investigation , on behalf of the respondent.

### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 13.01.2022. First appeal is dated 19.02.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 16.06.2022.

3. The appellant, Sh. Ravjot Singh , who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date. He also alleges that the respondent PIO is harassing him deliberately and causing the delay in supplying the requisite information to him. He also demands that penal action should be taken against the concerned respondent PIO and suitable compensation be awarded to him as per provisions of the RTI Act.

4. Sh. Purshotam Kumar, A. S. I. office of D.G.P., Chandigarh ; Sh. Sukhwinder Singh, Head Constable and Sh. Rajeev Godara, Senior Constable (both) office of Investigation Bureau, appeared on behalf of the respondent.

5. Heard the present parties and examined the documents placed in the case-file. It emerges that the RTI request of the appellant has been transferred to AIG-cum-PIO (Admin. Wing), Punjab under Section 6 (3) of the RTI Act vide letter no. 247 dated 21.01.2022. It is taken on record.

6. As the information relates with office of Bureau of Investigation(Admin. Wing), Punjab, Chandigarh, hence, Public Information Officer office of Bureau of Investigation(Admin. Wing), Punjab, Chandigarh, be impleaded as necessary party in this case.

7. A copy of this order be sent to Sh. Yadwinder Singh Sandhu, PPS, AIG-cum-PIO (Admin. Wing), alongwith RTI request through registered post with the directions to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request with a copy to the Commission, by the next date of hearing.

8. With this, the case is **adjourned to 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2022 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022**

**Sd/-  
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

**Encl:RTI request**



Vijay Kumar,  
Flat No. 302, Block – A,  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Royal Empire,  
Peer Muchhala,  
Zirakpur - 140603(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council,  
Zirakpur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council,  
Zirakpur (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2868 of 2022**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.

- i) Ms. Pooja Kashyap, Junior Assistant(99888-85330) ;
- ii) Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate(98764-68637), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 06.01.2022. First appeal is dated 02.04.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 17.06.2022.

3. Ms. Pooja Kashyap, Junior Assistant, who appeared with Sh. Gulshan Mehta, Advocate, in today's hearing, states that the requisite information, vide letter no. 145 dated 27.07.2022, has been brought by them in the Commission so that the same could be handed over to the appellant during the hearing in the Commission today. It, alongwith a copy of supplied information, is taken on record.

4. The appellant, Sh. Vijay Kumar, through telephonic communication has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case.

5. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the appellant to collect the information (which is submitted by the respondent during the hearing) from the Commission on any working day during official-hours and point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned. He is also advised to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.

6. With this, the case is **adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

Sd/-  
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Amarjit Singh Dhamotia,  
H. No. 60-35-P-376-1,  
Street – 08, Maha Singh Nagar,  
P. O. - Dhandari Kalan,  
Ludhiana - 141014 (Punjab)  
(M. - 98884-90031)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council,  
Gobindgarh, Distt. – Fatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council,  
Gobindgarh, Distt. – Fatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2892 of 2022**

**Present :** None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Rajinder Kumar, Inspector (98885-53915), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 17.03.2022. First appeal is dated 18.04.2022.

Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.06.2022.

2. Sh. Rajinder Kumar, Inspector, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 313 dated 04.04.2022, intimating that the requisite information sought through RTI request could not be supplied to the appellant as the information sought is 'voluminous' in nature, moreover, not specific and the same reply has also been sent to the appellant. He also requests that if the appellant would be more specific for what he demands, information would be provided to him positively. It (reply vide letter no. 313 dated 04.04.2022), is taken on record.

3. The appellant, Sh. Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

4. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the appellant to be more specific for the information he demanded through RTI request so that the respondent PIO could provide the requisite information to him, by the next date of hearing or the case would be decided on merits.

5. Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the information, only after receiving the specific queries, which would be intimated by the appellant, to him by registered post before the next date of hearing with a copy to the Commission.

6. With this, the case is **adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022



Rajnish Bharara,  
520, Preet Colony,  
Zirakpur,  
Distt.-S. A. S. Nagar – 140603 (Mohali) (Punjab)  
(M.- 99188-96100)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Tehsildar,  
Zirakpur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Deputy Commisisoner,  
S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 719 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Rajnish Bharara, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Jagpal Singh, Naib Tehsildar(98786-11724), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 15.11.2021. First appeal is dated 20.12.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 04.02.2022.
3. The appellant, Sh. Rajnish Bharara, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has received information through a reply vide letter no. 100 dated 25.01.2022, which is not satisfactory.
4. Sh. Jagpal Singh, Naib Tehsildar, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, seeks an adjournment in this case.
5. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to file a reply to the queries raised by the applicant through his RTI request by the next date of hearing.
6. With this, the case is **adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

Sd/-  
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Gurjit Singh  
S/o Late Sh. Rajinder Singh,  
H. No. 85 – L, Model Town,  
Jalandhar (Punjab)  
(98767-43065)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Executive Officer,  
Improvement Trust,  
Jalandhar (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner  
(Urban Development),  
Patiala (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 3481 of 2021**

Present : Sh. Gurjit Singh , the appellant in person.  
i) Sh. Rajesh K. Chowdhary, Executive Officer, in person ( 94638-15204) ;  
ii) Sh. Anil Kumar, Junior Assistant (94640-12955), for the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 24.11.2020. First appeal is dated 11.02.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 03.08.2021.
3. The appellant, Sh. Gurjit Singh , who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO.
4. Sh. Rajesh K. Chowdhary, Executive Officer, who appeared in person in today's hearing with Sh. Anil Kumar, Junior Assistant, states that a reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 953 dated 20.07.2022.
5. Heard the parties (present during the hearing) and examined the documents submitted to the Commission.
6. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned either to send the certified copies of the required information to the appellant or file a proper point-wise reply to all the queries raised by the appellant through his RTI request within ten days from today .
7. An opportunity is given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the reply (which would be given by the respondent) or not .
8. With this, the case is **adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

Sd/-  
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Jaswinder Singh  
S/o Sh. Dalvir Singh,  
Village – Padiala,  
Near Ravidass Gurudwara Sahib,  
Kuruli, Distt. – S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)  
(78147-37945)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,  
S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,  
S. A. S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2918 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Jaswinder Singh , the appellant in person.  
Sh. Ajay Kumar, Junior Assistant (98883-43660), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 26.04.2022. First appeal is dated 04.05.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 21.06.2022.

3. The appellant, Sh. Jaswinder Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO.

4. Sh. Ajay Kumar, Junior Assistant , who appeared on behalf of the respondent in today's hearing , seeks an adjournment in this case.

5. Heard the parties (present during the hearing) and examined the documents placed in the case-file.

6. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a proper point-wise reply to all the queries raised by the appellant through his RTI request by the next date of hearing.

6. An opportunity is given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the reply (which would be given by the respondent) or not .

7. With this, the case is **adjourned to 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2022 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

Sd/-  
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Sham Sunder ,  
C – 85, New Cantt. Road,  
Faridkot (Punjab)  
(M.- 62392-44597)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Assistant Inspector General of Police, Pb.,  
(Personnel - II Branch),  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director General of Police, Pb.,  
Police Head Quarter,  
Sector - 9, Chandigarh

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2905 of 2022**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Purshotam Kumar, A. S. I.(94171-31510), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 28.03.2022. First appeal is dated 04.05.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.06.2022.
3. Sh. Purshotam Kumar, A. S. I., who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that a reply has already been given to the appellant vide letter no. 3295 dated 12.07.2022 and a reply has also been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 3296 dated 12.07.2022 (received in the Commission vide Diary No. 15359 dated 15.07.2022). It is taken on record.
4. The appellant, Sh. Sham Sunder, through an e-mail dated 20.07.2022, has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and also intimates that incomplete information has been given to him by the respondent PIO as he has raised 10 points of grievances and only at 01 point information given. It is taken on record.
5. An opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a proper point-wise reply to all the queries raised by the appellant through his RTI request to him by the next date of hearing.
6. An opportunity is given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information/reply (which would be given by the respondent) or not and also to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned and also send a copy of the same to the Commission. He is also advised to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing.
7. With this, the case is **adjourned to 31<sup>st</sup> August, 2022 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022





Satnam Singh  
S/o Sh. Nacchhattar Singh,  
V.P.O. –Bela,  
Roopnagar - 140111 (Punjab)  
(M.- 98555-21804)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Deputy Commissioner ,  
Roopnagar (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Deputy Commissioner ,  
Roopnagar (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2897 of 2022**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Finance Officer(93177-74459), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 02.04.2022. First appeal is dated 04.05.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.06.2022.
3. The appellant, Sh. Satnam Singh is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.
4. Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Finance Officer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, seeks an adjournment in this case.
5. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to send a point-wise reply to all the queries raised by the applicant through his RTI request to him by the next date of hearing with a copy to the Commission.
6. With this, the case is **adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

Sd/-  
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Amarjit Singh Dhamotia,  
H. No. 60-35-P-376-1,  
Street – 08, Maha Singh Nagar,  
P. O. - Dhandari Kalan,  
Ludhiana - 141014 (Punjab)  
(M. - 98884-90031)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council,  
Gobindgarh, Distt. – Fatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Executive Officer,  
Nagar Council,  
Gobindgarh, Distt. – Fatehgarh Sahib (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2894 of 2022**

**Present :** None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Rajinder Kumar, Inspector (98885-53915), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

The RTI request is dated 19.03.2022. First appeal is dated 19.04.2022.

Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.06.2022.

2. Sh. Rajinder Kumar, Inspector, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, submits a reply vide letter no. 556 dated 21.07.2022, intimating that the requisite information sought through RTI request could not be supplied to the appellant as the information sought is 'voluminous' in nature, moreover, not specific and the same reply has also been sent to the appellant. He also requests that if the appellant would be more specific for what he demands, information would be provided to him positively. It (reply vide letter no. 556 dated 21.07.2022), is taken on record.

3. The appellant, Sh. Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

4. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the appellant to be more specific for the information he demanded through RTI request so that the respondent PIO could provide the requisite information to him, by the next date of hearing or the case would be decided on merits.

5. Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the information, only after receiving the specific queries, which would be intimated by the appellant, to him by registered post before the next date of hearing with a copy to the Commission.

6. **With this, the case is adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

Manu  
S/o Sh. Vijay Kumar,  
Vrindavan House,  
Backside Aman Hospital,  
Mohalla Kali Kambli Ashram,  
Distt. – Hoshiarpur - 146001(Punjab)  
(M.-97814-63834)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,  
SriFatehgarh Sahib(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Deputy Commissioner,  
SriFatehgarh Sahib(Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2896 of 2022**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Balwinder Kamboj, Manager(80540-58277) of Indus Hospital, SriFatehgarh Sahib , for the respondent.

### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 31.03.2022. First appeal is dated 02.05.2022. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 20.06.2022.

3. Sh. Balwinder Kamboj, Manager of Indus Hospital, SriFatehgarh Sahib, appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing.

4. After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 690 dated 27.07.2022 signed by Deputy Medical Commissioner, SriFatehgarh Sahib which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, has intimated the Commission that the requisite information of 122 pages has been given to the appellant. It is taken on record.

5. The appellant, Sh. Manu is absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission.

6. An opportunity is also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned and also send a copy of the same to the Commission. He is also advised to represent this case in person or through his representative on the next date of hearing or the case would be decided on merits.

**The case is adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Monday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

Sd/-  
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Tejinder Singh, Advocate,  
Civil Courts, Tehsil Complex,  
Backside Sanjh Kender,  
Phillaur - 144410 (Punjab)  
(90410-04313)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Sub Registrar,  
Jalandhar - 2 (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Jalandhar (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 4686 of 2021**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Munish Saini, Junior Assistant (96467-23300), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 04.05.2021. First appeal is dated 05.06.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 31.12.2021.
3. The appellant, Sh. Tejinder Singh, Advocate, through telephonic communication has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing .
4. He (appellant) through an e-mail dated 29.07.2022, has also intimated the Commission that in compliance to the order dated 24.06.2022, he visited the office of the respondent but relevant official-record regarding point no. 02 of the RTI request was not put before him for inspection. It is taken on record.
5. Sh. Munish Saini, Junior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the information at point no. 02 of the RTI request is regarding 'Vasika" and 'N O C' for the period from January, 2021 to 4<sup>th</sup> May, 2021 and during that period NOC was not required. He also submits a point-wise reply dated 28.07.2022 in an affidavit (Attested by Executive Magistrate, Jalandhar) signed by the Sub Registrar, Jalandhar-II. It, alongwith annexure is taken on record.
6. Nevertheless, the appellant is advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO to inspect the relevant official record on 03.08.2022 at 03:00 P. M. to identify the remaining information.

Contd...2/-

7. The respondent PIO concerned is directed to facilitate the appellant during his visit to the office and supply the required information to the appellant ( which would be identified by him after inspection) with a copy to the Commission

8. An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information, which would be supplied to him by the respondent PIO after inspection, or not.

9. The date and time of visit of the appellant and inspection of the relevant official record in the office of the respondent has been announced in the open court during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case.

10. The case is **adjourned to 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2022 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

**Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022**

**Sd/-  
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab**

Rajnish Bharara,  
520, Preet Colony,  
Zirakpur,  
Distt.-S. A. S. Nagar – 140603 (Mohali) (Punjab)  
(M.- 99188-96100)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Tehsildar,  
Zirakpur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Sub Divisional Magistrate,  
Derabassi (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 720 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Rajnish Bharara, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Jagpal Singh, Naib Tehsildar(98786-11724), on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

2. The RTI request is dated 30.10.2021. First appeal is dated 26.11.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 04.02.2022.

3. The appellant, Sh. Rajnish Bharara, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has received information a reply vide letter no. 90 dated 18.11.2021, which is not satisfactory.

4. Sh. Jagpal Singh, Naib Tehsildar, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, seeks an adjournment in this case.

5. Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to file a reply to the queries raised by the applicant through his RTI request by the next date of hearing.

6. With this, the case is **adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 (Monday) at 11:00 A.**

#### **M. for hearing.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 29<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

Sd/-  
(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab